

# LUKAS, NACE, GUTIERREZ & SACHS, LLP

8300 GREENSBORO DRIVE, SUITE 1200  
MCLEAN, VIRGINIA 22102  
703 584 8678 • 703 584 8696 FAX

WWW.FCCLAW.COM

RUSSELL D. LUKAS  
DAVID L. NACE  
THOMAS GUTIERREZ\*  
ELIZABETH R. SACHS\*  
DAVID A. LAFURIA  
PAMELA L. GIST  
TODD SLAMOWITZ\*  
TODD B. LANTOR\*  
STEVEN M. CHERNOFF\*  
KATHERINE PATSAS NEVITT\*

CONSULTING ENGINEERS  
ALI KUZEHKANANI  
LEILA REZANAVAZ  
—  
OF COUNSEL  
GEORGE L. LYON, JR.  
LEONARD S. KOLSKY\*  
JOHN CIMKO\*  
J. K. HAGE III\*  
JOHN J. MCAVOY\*  
HON. GERALD S. MCGOWAN\*  
TAMARA DAVIS BROWN\*  
JEFFREY A. MITCHELL  
ROBERT S. KOPPEL\*

\*NOT ADMITTED IN VA

Writer's Direct Dial  
(703) 584-8665  
pgist@fcclaw.com

February 22, 2011

Marlene H. Dortch, Office of the Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW  
Suite TW-A325  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: EB Docket No. 06-36  
Annual 47 C.F.R. § 64.2009(e) CPNI Certification for 2010

Bluegrass Cellular, Inc.  
Kentucky RSA #3 Cellular General Partnership  
Kentucky RSA 4 Cellular General Partnership  
Cumberland Cellular Partnership  
Bluegrass Wireless LLC

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On behalf of Bluegrass Cellular, Inc. and its affiliates, and pursuant to Section 64.2009(e) of FCC rules, submitted herewith is the carriers' joint CPNI certification with accompanying statement covering calendar year 2010.

Should any questions arise regarding this submission, please contact the undersigned.

Very truly yours,



Pamela L. Gist

Enclosure

cc: Best Copy and Printing, Inc.

**Annual 47 C.F.R. § 64.2009(e) CPNI Certification**  
**EB Docket No. 06-36**

Annual 64.2009(e) CPNI Certification for 2010

Date filed: February 22, 2011

Name of companies covered by this certification:

Bluegrass Cellular, Inc.

For and on behalf of

Kentucky RSA #3 Cellular General Partnership  
499 Filer ID 802218

Kentucky RSA #4 Cellular General Partnership  
499 Filer ID 802215

Cumberland Cellular Partnership  
499 Filer ID 802221

Bluegrass Wireless LLC  
499 Filer ID 825310

Address: P.O. Box 5012  
Elizabethtown, Kentucky 42702

Name of signing officer: Ronald R. Smith

Title of signatory: President

CERTIFICATION

I, Ronald R. Smith, hereby certify that I am an officer of the companies named above, and acting as an agent of the companies, that I have personal knowledge that the companies have established operating procedures that are adequate to ensure compliance with the Customer Proprietary Network Information rules set forth in 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.2001 *et seq.* of the rules of the Federal Communications Commission.

Attached to this certification is an accompanying statement which (i) explains how the companies' procedures ensure that the companies are in compliance with the requirements set forth in 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.2001 *et seq.* of the Commission's rules, (ii) explains any action taken against data brokers during the past year, (iii) reports information known to the companies regarding tactics pretexters may be using to attempt access to CPNI, and (iv) summarizes any customer complaints received in the past year concerning the unauthorized release of CPNI.

  
Name: Ronald R. Smith

Title: President

Date: 2/21/11

Company Names (Collectively referred to as “Carrier”):

Bluegrass Cellular, Inc.

For and on behalf of

Kentucky RSA #3 Cellular General Partnership

Kentucky RSA #4 Cellular General Partnership

Cumberland Cellular Partnership

Bluegrass Wireless LLC

### STATEMENT

Carrier has established operating procedures that ensure compliance with the Federal Communication Commission (“Commission”) regulations regarding the protection of customer proprietary network information (“CPNI”).

- Carrier has adopted a manual and keeps it updated with FCC CPNI rule revisions, and has designated a CPNI compliance officer to oversee CPNI training and implementation.
- Carrier continually educates and trains its employees regarding the appropriate use of CPNI. Carrier has established disciplinary procedures should an employee violate the CPNI procedures established by Carrier.
- Carrier has implemented a system whereby the status of a customer’s CPNI approval can be determined prior to the use of CPNI.
- Carrier maintains a record of its and its affiliates' sales and marketing campaigns that use its customers' CPNI. Carrier also maintains a record of any and all instances where CPNI was disclosed or provided to third parties, or where third parties were allowed access to CPNI. The record includes a description of each campaign, the specific CPNI that was used in the campaign, and what products and services were offered as a part of the campaign.
- Carrier has established a supervisory review process regarding compliance with the CPNI rules with respect to outbound marketing situations and maintains records of carrier compliance for a minimum period of one year. Specifically, Carrier’s sales personnel obtain supervisory approval of any proposed outbound marketing request for customer approval regarding its CPNI, and a process ensures that opt-out elections are recorded and followed.
- Carrier has implemented procedures to properly authenticate customers prior to disclosing CPNI over the telephone, at Carrier’s retail locations or otherwise and in connection with these procedures, Carrier has established a system of passwords and back-up authentication methods which complies with the requirements of applicable Commission rules.

- Carrier has established procedures to ensure that customers will be immediately notified of account changes including changes to passwords, back-up means of authentication for lost or forgotten passwords, or address of record.
- Carrier has established procedures to notify law enforcement and customer(s) of unauthorized disclosure of CPNI in accordance with FCC timelines.
- Carrier took the following actions against data brokers in 2010, including proceedings instituted or petitions filed by Carrier at a state commission, in the court system, or at the Federal Communications Commission:
  - No instances of CPNI violations stemming from data brokers, no action taken
- The following is information Carrier has with respect to the processes pretexters are using to attempt to access CPNI, and [if any] what steps carriers are taking to protect CPNI:
  - Call Center: Persons calling stating that they are the account holder when they are not – Verification of personal information prior to discussing the account information is used to protect CPNI. Detail call records are only mailed to the account billing address (never faxed or sent to another address).
  - Retail Location - Persons stating that they are the account holder when they are not – Verification of picture id prior to discussing any account information or release of bills or call records.
- The following is a summary of all customer complaints received in 2010 regarding the unauthorized release of CPNI:
  - Number of customer complaints Carrier received in 2010 related to unauthorized access to CPNI, or unauthorized disclosure of CPNI: 17

Category of complaint:

- |    |  |
|----|--|
| 7  | Number of instances of improper access by employees  |
| 10 | Number of instances of improper disclosure to individuals not authorized to receive the information                |
| 0  | Number of instances of improper access to online information by individuals not authorized to view the information |
| 0  | Number of other instances of improper access or disclosure   |
- Summary of customer complaints received in 2010 concerning the unauthorized release of CPNI:

- 2/26/10: Customer alleged that someone was giving his call records to his wife. Upon investigation, we discovered our employee had accessed the account without business reason, employment was discontinued.
- 4/7/10: Customer alleged that ex-boyfriend has knowledge of her calls. Upon investigation, no employee had accessed the call records of this customer, a CPNI violation did not occur.
- 4/20/10: Customer complained about inconsistent process in store. One month his wife can't access information, at another time, she was given call detail information. Upon investigation, the employee did release a call record and was placed on disciplinary probation because she thought the wife, an authorized user, had permission to obtain this information.
- 5/17/10: Customer alleged that his niece works at our retail store and is sharing call records with his ex-wife. Upon investigation, it was determined that our employee did this and her employment was discontinued.
- 5/27/10: Customer alleged that an employee was accessing her records. Upon investigation, the employee had accessed call records without recording the business need so the employee faced reprimand.
- 5/29/10: Customer alleged that an employee who is his ex-girlfriend has called and harassed people he has called from his cell phone by accessing his records. He wants to change his number but feels uncomfortable that the employee would have access to the new number. Upon investigation, a CPNI violation did occur and employment was discontinued.
- 6/14/10: Customer alleged that our employee had accessed her phone records to see when/if she's been calling the employee's husband. Upon investigation, a CPNI violation did occur and employment was discontinued.
- 8/11/10: Customer alleged that an employee had read the verbiage of her text message. We explained that our employees do not have access to that information, a CPNI violation did not occur.
- 9/8/10: Customer alleged that our employee gave out call detail to her relative from the customer's account. Upon investigation, call detail wasn't accessed by our employee, a CPNI violation did not occur.

- 9/23/10: Customer alleged that employees in our store are friends with his ex-girlfriend and that they are giving his ex-girlfriend information regarding who he is calling. Upon investigation, no one has accessed his call detail information, a CPNI violation did not occur.
- 9/27/10: Customer alleged that employees were giving his ex-girlfriend dates and times of his texting activity. Upon our investigation, no employees accessed that data from our system. A CPNI violation did not occur.
- 10/19/10: Customer alleges that employee gave his call records to ex-girlfriend. Upon investigation, the customer's call records were not accessed by any of our employees. A CPNI violation did not occur.
- 10/26/10: Customer alleges that employee accessed his call records. Upon investigation, no employees accessed his call records. A CPNI violation did not occur.
- 11/9/10: Customer alleges that a specific employee is relaying information about who she calls to her ex-husband. Upon investigation, the only time the customer's call detail was accessed, was to assist the customer. A CPNI violation did not occur.
- 11/10/10: Customer alleges that someone has contacted her and states they know who she has been calling. Upon investigation, no employee has accessed call detail information. A CPNI violation did not occur.
- 12/3/10: Customer alleges that his girlfriend was able to obtain a print out of his call records from our retail store. Upon investigation, a CPNI violation did occur, employment was discontinued.
- 12/15/10: Customer alleges that our employee gave out her cellular number after a number change occurred. Upon investigation, no one accessed her account after the number change. A CPNI violation did not occur.